Full angular profile of the coherent polarization opposition effect
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We use the rigorous vector theory of weak photon localization for a semi-infinite medium composed of nonabsorbing Rayleigh scatterers to compute the full angular profile of the polarization opposition effect. The effect is caused by coherent backscattering of unpolarized incident light and accompanies the well-known backscattering intensity peak. © 2000 Optical Society of America [S0740-3232(00)00905-4]
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1. INTRODUCTION

Coherent backscattering is a remarkable phenomenon caused by constructive interference of waves propagating along reciprocal multiple-scattering paths in a discrete random medium.1–4 A well-known manifestation of coherent backscattering is an intensity peak centered at exactly the backscattering direction. The magnitude of the peak relative to the incoherent background depends on the polarization states of the incident and reflected beams and can be as large as a factor of 2 when the incident beam is fully circularly polarized, the intensity of the reflected beam is measured in the same-helicity channel, and the scattering particles are spherically symmetric.5 Another interesting and practically important manifestation of coherent backscattering can be observed when the incident beam is unpolarized. In this case the backscattering intensity peak is accompanied by a sharp asymmetric peak of negative polarization with a minimum centered at a very small phase angle (the angle between the reflected beam and the backscattering direction).6 This phenomenon has been called the polarization opposition effect (POE) and has the same physical origin as the so-called spatial anisotropy of the backscattering intensity peak in the case of a fully linearly polarized incident beam.7

The calculation of the POE in Ref. 6 was based on the vector theory of coherent backscattering developed by Ozrin8 for a semi-infinite medium composed of nonabsorbing Rayleigh scatterers (the particle size is much smaller than the wavelength of the incident light). Although this theory is rigorous, the final solution was given in terms of asymptotic expressions valid in the limit of very small and very large phase angles. Therefore the full angular profile of the POE, including the exact value and the angular position of the polarization minimum, remained unknown.

In this paper we revisit the problem of computing the full angular profile of the POE and, to this end, use the complete solution developed recently by Amic et al.9 We also compare our theoretical calculations with available laboratory data and briefly discuss remote-sensing ramifications of our results.

2. THEORY

The following analysis is largely based on formulas of Ref. 9. However, since we are interested in coherent backscattering of unpolarized incident light, it is more convenient to use the standard representation of the Stokes vector rather than the modified representation adopted in Ref. 9. This change necessitates a simple linear transformation of the 4 × 4 matrices given by Eqs. (2.42)–(2.45) of Ref. 9, as described in Sec. 3 of Ref. 10.

Consider a semi-infinite, homogeneous random medium composed of nonabsorbing Rayleigh scatterers. We will use the standard set of Stokes parameters I, Q, U, and V to define the polarization state of the incident and reflected beams relative to their respective meridional planes (the planes through the beams and the normal to the boundary of the medium).10,11 Assume that the medium is illuminated by a parallel beam of light incident perpendicular to the boundary and characterized by Stokes parameters I0, Q0, U0, and V0, where I0 is the incident energy flux per unit area perpendicular to the flux. The Stokes parameters of the beam reflected at a phase angle a in the meridional plane of the incident beam are given by
where $S(\alpha)$ is the Stokes reflection matrix. The reflection matrix can be decomposed as

$$S(\alpha) = S^1(\alpha) + S^L(\alpha) + S^C(\alpha),$$

where $S^1(\alpha)$ is the first-order scattering component, $S^L(\alpha)$ is the contribution of all ladder diagrams of scattering orders 2 and higher, and $S^C(\alpha)$ is the contribution of all cyclical diagrams of scattering orders 2 and higher. Restricting the analysis to small $\alpha$, introducing the so-called angular parameter $q = kl\alpha$, where $k$ is the wave number and $l$ is the photon transport mean free path in the scattering medium, and performing the previously mentioned matrix transformation, we derive from formulas of Ref. 9

$$S^1(\alpha) \approx S^1(0) = \frac{3}{16} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S^L(\alpha) \approx S^L(0) = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11}(0) + \gamma_{12}(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{11}(0) - \gamma_{12}(0) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{12}(0) - \gamma_{11}(0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_{44}(0) \end{bmatrix} - S^1(0),$$

$$S^C(\alpha) \approx S^C(q) = \begin{bmatrix} S^C_{11}(q) & S^C_{12}(q) & 0 & 0 \\ S^C_{12}(q) & S^C_{22}(q) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S^C_{33}(q) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & S^C_{44}(q) \end{bmatrix} - S^1(0),$$

where

$$S^C_{11}(q) = \frac{1}{8} [\gamma_{11}(q) + \gamma_{22}(q) - \gamma_{33}(q) + \gamma_{44}(q)],$$

$$S^C_{22}(q) = \frac{1}{8} [\gamma_{11}(q) + \gamma_{22}(q) + \gamma_{33}(q) - \gamma_{44}(q)],$$

$$S^C_{33}(q) = \frac{1}{8} [\gamma_{33}(q) + \gamma_{44}(q)] - \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{12}(q),$$

$$S^C_{44}(q) = \frac{1}{8} [\gamma_{33}(q) + \gamma_{44}(q)] + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{12}(q),$$

$$S^C_{12}(q) = \frac{1}{8} [\gamma_{11}(q) - \gamma_{22}(q)].$$

Since the angular functions $\gamma_{ij}(q)$ can be computed numerically as described in detail by Amic et al., Eqs. (2)–(10) can be used to calculate the full Stokes reflection matrix for any $q$. If, furthermore, the transport mean free path $l$ is known, the reflection matrix can be expressed as a function of the phase angle $\alpha$ rather than the dimensionless angular parameter $q$. Note that the matrices $S^1(0)$ and $S^C(0)$ in Eqs. (4)–(10) fully comply with the general relationships derived for arbitrary macroscopic dimensionally isotropic and symmetric scattering media and given by Eqs. (18) and (20)–(23) of Ref. 5.

Assuming unpolarized incident light ($Q_0 = U_0 = V_0 = 0$), we define the intensity enhancement factor as the ratio of the total reflected intensity to the incoherent background value,

$$\zeta(\alpha) = \frac{S^1_{11}(0) + S^C_{11}(0) + S^C_{12}(q)}{S^1_{11}(0) + S^C_{11}(0)}.$$

Equations (3)–(5) show that only the first two Stokes parameters of the reflected light can be nonzero. Therefore the degree of linear polarization can be defined as minus the ratio of the total reflected second Stokes parameter to the total reflected intensity:

$$P(\alpha) = \frac{Q(\alpha)}{I(\alpha)} = -\frac{S^C_{12}(q)}{S^1_{11}(0) + S^C_{11}(0) + S^C_{12}(q)}.$$

Figure 1 shows both quantities as functions of the
in absolute value, and reaches its minimal value $P_{\min} \approx -2.765\%$ at a reflection direction very close to opposition ($q_P \approx 1.68$). The peak of negative polarization is highly asymmetric so that the half-minimal polarization value $-1.383\%$ is first reached at $q_P,1 \approx 0.498$, which is even smaller than the value $q_z \approx 0.597$ corresponding to the half-width at half-maximum of the backscattering intensity peak, and then at a much larger $q_P,2 \approx 7.10$. This unusual behavior of polarization at near-backscattering angles was called in Ref. 6 the polarization opposition effect (POE). To the best of our knowledge, the numbers $q_z \approx 0.597$, $P_{\min} \approx -2.765\%$, $q_P \approx 1.68$, $q_P,1 \approx 0.498$, and $q_P,2 \approx 7.10$ provide the first exact metrics of the photometric and polarization opposition effects caused by coherent backscattering of unpolarized incident light by a half-space of nonabsorbing Rayleigh scatterers.

### 3. DISCUSSION

Because lasers are the most frequently used sources of illumination and usually generate linearly or circularly polarized light, explicit laboratory demonstrations of the POE have been extremely rare. Apparently the first laboratory observation of the POE was made by Lyot as long ago as in the 1920’s, although the physical explanation of this phenomenon was, of course, unknown at that time. Figure 2 shows Lyot’s polarization measurements for a particulate surface obtained by burning a tape of magnesium under a glass plate until the deposit on the plate was completely opaque. Lyot described the observed phase curve of polarization as “puzzling” and attributed it to the very small size of magnesia grains. Unfortunately, he did not measure the actual size of the grains and their packing density and thus did not provide the information necessary to compute the photon mean free path $l$. Furthermore, the minimal measured polarization value is only $-1.11\%$, compared with the theoretical value $P_{\min} \approx -2.765\%$ computed for Rayleigh particles. However, assuming that the latter difference is explained by the finite particle size in Lyot’s experiment and multiplying the theoretical polarization by a factor of 0.4, and also assuming that the actual $kl$ was close to a realistic value of 132, we were able to almost perfectly reproduce the angular profile of the measured polarization up to phase angles of $\sim 15^\circ$ (solid curve in Fig. 2). At larger phase angles the assumption of phase-angle-independent single-scattering and ladder contributions to the total reflection matrix (Eqs. (3) and (4)) is no longer valid and causes a significant deviation of the theoretical curve from the measurements.

The POE may have also been observed in more recent laboratory measurements by Geake and Geake for fine alumina powders, although the smallest phase angle in their experiments was 2 deg and may be too large for definitive conclusions to be made. These measurements may suggest that the POE weakens and ultimately disappears with increasing particle size, which would be consistent with the similar disappearance of the spatial anisotropy of the backscattering intensity peak in the case of a linearly polarized incident beam observed by van Albada et al.

Sharp peaks of negative polarization at phase angles considerably less than 1° were observed for Saturn’s rings and the Galilean satellites of Jupiter. Because these peaks were distinctly different from the symmetric, nearly parabolic negative polarization branch commonly observed for many solar system bodies, they were interpreted in terms of the POE produced by coherent backscattering of sunlight by a surface layer composed primarily of microscopic ice particles. The fact that the same objects exhibit comparably narrow intensity peaks centered at exactly the opposition provides strong support for this interpretation.

As was emphasized above, our present analysis is based on rigorous formulas of Ref. 9 and provides, as far as we know for the first time, the exact metrics of the POE in the case of conservative Rayleigh scattering. Since the vector theory of coherent backscattering is extremely complicated and contains only a few rigorous results, we believe that our results can be useful in checking the accuracy of approximate approaches that are often based on multiple untested assumptions and have not been validated versus exact theories. Furthermore,

---

**Fig. 1.** Theoretical angular profiles of the intensity enhancement factor and the degree of linear polarization of the reflected light for a half-space of nonabsorbing Rayleigh particles illuminated by an unpolarized beam of light incident normally to the boundary of the scattering medium.

**Fig. 2.** Polarization measurements for a particulate surface composed of microscopic magnesia particles (squares) and best-fit theoretical computations (solid curve).
it appears that laboratory and remote-sensing measurements of polarization at nearly zero phase angles can be an informative characterization and diagnostic tool in various physical and geophysical applications.
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