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Abstract. We describe an efficient method to compute the efficiency factors for radiation pressure for randomly-oriented axially-symmetric nonspherical grains. The method is based on Waterman's $T$-matrix approach and may be used in computations for grains with sizes smaller than or of the order of the wavelength. An illustrative numerical example for randomly oriented spheroidal grains is given.

1. Introduction

In solving many astrophysical problems, one has to take into account radiation pressure upon small particles (see, e.g., Mathews, 1967; Kwok, 1975; Tarafdar and Wickramasinghe, 1976; Martin, 1978; Burns et al., 1979; Simpson et al., 1980; Voshchinnikov and Il'in, 1983; Jura, 1984; Voshchinnikov, 1986; Fröhlich and Notni, 1988; Gustafson, 1989). Though in most cases of astrophysical interest the scattering particles are nonspherical, in practical computations they are usually replaced by 'equivalent' spheres, and then the Mie theory is employed, i.e., the following formula is used (van de Hulst, 1957):

$$C_{pr} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \text{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (2n + 1) (a_n + b_n) + \frac{2n(n + 2)}{n + 1} (a_n a_{n+1}^* + b_n b_{n+1}^*) + \frac{2(2n + 1)}{n(n + 1)} a_n b_n^* \right\},$$

(1)

where $C_{pr}$ is the cross-section of radiation pressure, $a_n$ and $b_n$ are Mie coefficients, $k = 2\pi/\lambda$, $\lambda$ is the wavelength, and the asterisk denotes the complex conjugate values. The purpose of the present paper is to discuss a more general problem: namely, to consider computation of the cross-sections of radiation pressure for ensembles of randomly-oriented axially-symmetric nonspherical particles. In Section 2, we use Waterman's (1971) $T$-matrix approach to obtain some generalization of Equation (1), which is applicable to this more general case, and briefly describe the corresponding computational scheme. In Section 3, some illustrative numerical results for spheroidal grains are given.

It is worthwhile to note here that Onaka (1980) and, recently, Voshchinnikov (1990) considered computation of the radiation pressure upon homogeneous and layered

spheroidal particles. Nevertheless, their results are of limited use because they considered only particles in fixed orientation with respect to the direction of light propagation, whereas in nature nonspherical grains are most frequently distributed over orientations rather than perfectly aligned.

2. Computational Method

The cross-section of radiation pressure for an ensemble of randomly-oriented identical nonspherical particles is given by (e.g., van de Hulst, 1957; Bohren and Huffman, 1983)

$$C_{pr} = C_{ext} - C_{sca} \langle \cos \theta \rangle,$$

where $C_{ext}$ is the extinction cross-section, $C_{sca}$ is the scattering cross-section, and $\langle \cos \theta \rangle$ is the average cosine of the scattering angle (or asymmetry parameter of the phase function). All these quantities are assumed to be averaged over the uniform orientational distribution of a nonspherical particle. To compute these quantities, we shall use the $T$-matrix approach (Waterman, 1971), which seems to be the most efficient tool of solving light scattering problems for homogeneous and layered axially-symmetric nonspherical particles of size not too large as compared with the wavelength.

Let us consider a nonspherical particle with a fixed orientation with respect to the laboratory reference frame. Using the $T$-matrix approach, we expand the fields that are incident upon (superscript $i$) and scattered by (superscript $s$) this particle in vector spherical waves as follows (Tsang et al., 1984):

$$E'(r) = \sum_{mn} \left[ a_{mn} \text{Rg} M_{mn}(kr) + b_{mn} \text{Rg} N_{mn}(kr) \right]$$

and

$$E^s(r) = \sum_{mn} \left[ p_{mn} M_{mn}(kr) + q_{mn} N_{mn}(kr) \right].$$

The vector spherical waves $\text{Rg} M_{mn}$ and $\text{Rg} N_{mn}$ in Equation (3) have a Bessel function radial dependence, while the functions $M_{mn}$ and $N_{mn}$ in Equation (4) have a Hankel function radial dependence. The expansion coefficients of the incident field $a_{mn}$ and $b_{mn}$ are assumed to be known (e.g., for a plane incident wave they are given by simple analytical expressions), whereas the expansion coefficients of the scattered field $p_{mn}$ and $q_{mn}$ are initially unknown. The relation between these coefficients is linear and is given by a transition matrix (or $T$-matrix) $T$ as

$$p_{mn} = \sum_{m'n'} \left[ T_{mn,m'n'}^{11} a_{m'n'} + T_{mn,m'n'}^{12} b_{m'n'} \right],$$

and

$$q_{mn} = \sum_{m'n'} \left[ T_{mn,m'n'}^{21} a_{m'n'} + T_{mn,m'n'}^{22} b_{m'n'} \right].$$

The elements of the $T$-matrix do not depend upon the directions of propagation and states of polarization of the incident and scattered fields. They depend only upon the size, morphology, and composition of the scattering particle, as well as upon its
orientation with respect to the laboratory reference frame. The $T$-matrix can be computed by using special formulae, and then one easily computes the expansion coefficients of the scattered field and the scattered field itself (see Equations (5)–(6) and (4), respectively), as well as the amplitude scattering matrix, optical cross-sections, single scattering albedo, etc.

The $T$-matrix approach is especially efficient for axially-symmetric scatterers, because in this case the $T$-matrix can be diagonalized with respect to the indices $m$ and $m'$ by computing it in the natural (or body) coordinate system with the $z$-axis along the axis of particle symmetry (see, e.g., Tsang et al., 1984)

$$
\hat{T}^{ij}_{mm'm'} = \delta_{mm'}\hat{T}^{ij}_{mmmn}, \quad i, j = 1, 2;
$$

where $\delta_{mm'}$ is the Kronecker delta, and $\hat{T}$ is the $T$-matrix of the axially-symmetric particle computed in its natural coordinate system. After computing the matrix $\hat{T}$, the $T$-matrix of the particle with respect to the laboratory reference frame can easily be computed by using the formula (Varadan, 1980; Tsang et al., 1984)

$$
T = D^{-1}(x\beta\gamma)\hat{T}(x\beta\gamma),
$$

where $D$ are Wigner's $D$-matrices (see, e.g., Varshalovich et al., 1975), and the Eulerian angles of rotation $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ specify the orientation of the particle with respect to the laboratory reference frame.

An important advantage of the $T$-matrix approach is that it is ideally suited to calculate orientationally averaged quantities for randomly oriented or partially aligned nonspherical particles (Tsang et al., 1984; Mishchenko, 1990a–e). In particular, in a recent paper we have shown (Mishchenko, 1990a) that the extinction cross-section, averaged over the uniform orientational distribution of identical axially-symmetric particles, is given by

$$
C_{\text{ext}} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \Re \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (2 - \delta_{mn}) (\hat{T}^{11}_{mmnn} + \hat{T}^{22}_{mmnn}).
$$

To compute the quantity $C_{\text{sca}} \langle \cos \theta \rangle$, we proceed as follows. By definition (see, e.g., van de Hulst, 1957),

$$
\langle \cos \theta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \theta) \Phi(\theta) \cos \theta,
$$

where $\Phi(\theta)$ is the phase function. Let us expand the function $\Phi(\theta)$ in Legendre polynomials as

$$
\Phi(\theta) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} x_s P_s(\cos \theta).
$$
Then, by using Equation (11) and the orthogonality relation
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} du \ P_s(u) P_s(u) = \frac{2}{2s + 1} \ \delta_{ss'}, \quad (12)
\]
we have
\[
\langle \cos \theta \rangle = x_1 / 3 . \quad (13)
\]
Formulae for the expansion coefficients \(x_s\) for an ensemble of randomly oriented, identical, axially-symmetric particles have been derived in our recent paper (Mishchenko, 1990c). By using these formulae, one easily obtains
\[
C_{\text{scat}} \langle \cos \theta \rangle = \pi k^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n'=1}^{n+1} \left[ \frac{2n + 1}{2n' + 1} \right]^{1/2} \times \sum_{m=1}^{M} C_{nm10}^m \sum_{m'=1}^{M} \left\{ D_{mn1} - (-1)^{n' + n} \mathcal{D}_{mn1} \right\} , \quad (14)
\]
where
\[
M = \min(n, n'),
\]
\[
D_{mn1} = \sum_{n_1=|m-1|}^{\infty} (2n_1 + 1) B_{nnn_1}^1 (B_{nnn_1}^1)^* , \quad (15)
\]
\[
\mathcal{D}_{mn1} = \sum_{n_1=|m-1|}^{\infty} (2n_1 + 1) B_{nnn_1}^2 (B_{nnn_1}^2)^* , \quad (16)
\]
\[
B_{nnn_1}^j = \sum_{n'=\max(1, |n-n_1|)}^{n+n_1} C_{nnn_1}^{n'} A_{nnn_1}^{n'} , \quad j = 1, 2 , \quad (17)
\]
\[
A_{nnn_1}^{n'} = \frac{i^{n'-n}}{\sqrt{2n'+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} C_{nmn_1}^{n'} T_{mn1}^{n'} , \quad M_1 = \min(n, n') , \quad (18)
\]
\[
T_{mn1}^{1} = \mathcal{T}_{mn1}^{11} + \mathcal{T}_{mn1}^{12} + \mathcal{T}_{mn1}^{21} + \mathcal{T}_{mn1}^{22} , \quad (19)
\]
\[
T_{mn1}^{2} = \mathcal{T}_{mn1}^{11} + \mathcal{T}_{mn1}^{12} - \mathcal{T}_{mn1}^{21} - \mathcal{T}_{mn1}^{22} , \quad (20)
\]
and \(C_{nm1n_2m_2}^{n_1n_2m_2}\) are Clebsch–Gordan coefficients related to Wigner’s 3j-symbols by (e.g., Varshalovich et al., 1975)
\[
C_{nm1n_2m_2}^{n_1n_2m_2} = (-1)^{n_1 + n_2 + m} \sqrt{2n + 1} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} n_1 & n_2 & n \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m \end{array} \right) . \quad (21)
\]
Thus, to compute the orientationally averaged cross-section of radiation pressure for an ensemble of randomly oriented, identical, axially-symmetric particles, it is sufficient to calculate the \(T\)-matrix with respect to the natural reference frame of the particle (i.e.,
the matrix \( \hat{T} \) and then to use Equations (19)–(20), (18), (17), (15)–(16), (14), (9), and (2). Formulae for computing the matrix \( \hat{T} \) for homogeneous axially-symmetric particles are given, e.g., by Tsang et al. (1984). Computation of the matrix \( \hat{T} \) for composite particles is considered by Peterson and Ström (1974), Ström and Zheng (1988), Zheng (1988), Zheng and Ström (1989), and Wang and Barber (1979). Numerical aspects of the \( T \)-matrix computations are extensively discussed by Wiscombe and Mugnai (1986). Finally, convenient formulae for computing the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients, appearing in Equations (14) and (17)–(18), are collected in Appendix B of Mishchenko (1990c).

It should be noted that for a spherical particle with spherically symmetric internal structure,

\[
\hat{T}_{11}^{nmn} = -\delta_{nm} b_n, \\
\hat{T}_{12}^{nmn} = \hat{T}_{21}^{nmn} = 0, \\
\hat{T}_{22}^{nmn} = -\delta_{nm} a_n,
\]

(21)

(22)

(23)

where \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) are the Mic coefficients, if the particle is homogeneous, and their analogues, if the particle is radially inhomogeneous (see, e.g., Bohren and Huffman, 1983; Prishivalko et al., 1984). By using the properties of the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients (e.g., Varshalovich et al., 1975), one easily derives Equation (1) as a particular case of more general Equations (9) and (14).

3. Illustrative Numerical Results

In this section, we present some illustrative numerical results for randomly-oriented spheroidal grains. The shape of a spheroid in its natural reference frame is governed by the equation

\[
r(\theta, \varphi) = a \left( \sin^2 \theta + \frac{a^2}{b^2} \cos^2 \theta \right)^{-1/2},
\]

(24)

where \( b \) is the rotational semi-axis, and \( a \) is the horizontal semi-axis of the spheroid. Another pair of parameters, that may be used to specify the shape of the spheroid, is \( r_{ev}, d \), where \( d = a/b \) is the ratio of the semi-axes, and \( r_{ev} \) is the radius of the equal-volume sphere given by

\[
r_{ev} = ad^{-1/3}.
\]

(25)

In Table I we give numerical results for particles with \( r_{ev} = 0.1 \) \( \mu \)m and for two values of the refractive index: \( m_r = 1.7 + 0.03i \) and \( m_r = 2.5 + i \). Instead of the cross-sections, the efficiency factors for radiation pressure are displayed which are given by

\[
Q_{pr} = C_{pr}/S,
\]

(26)

where \( S = \pi r_{ev}^2 \) is the geometrical cross-section of the equal volume sphere. The computational parameters, that determine the accuracy of the \( T \)-matrix computations (see,
TABLE I

Efficiency factors for radiation pressure for spheres and randomly oriented spheroids with \( r_e = 0.1 \mu \text{m} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength, ( \mu \text{m} )</th>
<th>( m_e = 1.7 + 0.03i )</th>
<th>( m_e = 2.5 + i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prolate spheroids, ( d = 1/2 )</td>
<td>Spheres, ( d = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.564</td>
<td>1.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.385</td>
<td>1.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9292</td>
<td>0.9772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6647</td>
<td>0.6635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4612</td>
<td>0.4504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3053</td>
<td>0.2959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2060</td>
<td>0.1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1450</td>
<td>0.1391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1068</td>
<td>0.1021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e.g., Wiscombe and Murgui, 1986), were chosen such that the accuracy of computing the efficiency factors for radiation pressure was better than 0.01%.
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