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Overview

- Aerosol lidar system
- Retrieval of aerosol properties from lidar measurements
- Metropolis-Hastings algorithm for uncertainty analysis
- Techniques for its efficient implementation
CCNY Aerosol/Water vapor lidar

Transmits light at 1064, 532, and 355nm

Detects signals at transmitted wavelengths as well as two wavelengths shifted from 355nm by Raman scattering from N2 and H2O

1: Three wavelength pulsed Nd:YAG Laser
2: Newtonian Telescope
3: Receiver optics and photo-detectors
4: Licel transient recorder, analog and photon counting
Optical coefficients and lidar equations

Elastic lidar equation

$$P(R, \lambda_r = \lambda_t) = \frac{E \cdot C \cdot O(R)}{R^2} \cdot \left( \beta_{a}(R, \lambda_t) + \beta_{m}(R, \lambda_t) \right) \cdot T_{m}^2(R, \lambda_t) \cdot T_{a}^2(R, \lambda_t)$$

Raman lidar equation

$$P(R, \lambda_r) = \frac{E \cdot C \cdot O(R)}{R^2} \cdot \beta_{N_2, Ram}(R, \lambda_t, \lambda_r) \cdot T_{m}(R, \lambda_t) \cdot T_{m}(R, \lambda_r) \cdot T_{a}(R, \lambda_t) \cdot T_{a}(R, \lambda_r)$$

$$T_{a|m}(R, \lambda) = \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{R} \alpha_{a|m}(R', \lambda)\,dR'\right)$$

- $R$ - Range
- $P(R, \lambda_r)$ - Optical signal
- $\lambda_t$ - Transmitted wavelength
- $\lambda_r$ - Received wavelength
- $E$ - Laser pulse energy
- $C$ - System calibration coefficient
- $O(R)$ - Geometric overlap function

$\beta_{a|m}$ - Elastic backscatter coefficient (aerosol or molecular)

$\beta_{N_2, Ram}$ - Nitrogen Raman backscatter coefficient

$T_{a|m}$ - Optical transmission

$\alpha_{a|m}$ - Extinction coefficient
Multi-mode aerosol model and optical coefficients

Aerosol is modeled as being composed of different constituents, each with a size distribution and index of refraction.

**Optical coefficient dependency**

\[
y_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} V_i \int_0^\infty n(r; \bar{r}_i, \sigma_i) K_n(r; m_i) \, dr
\]

**Aerosol state vector**

\[
\theta = (V_1, \bar{r}_1, \sigma_1, m_1, \ldots, V_M, \bar{r}_M, \sigma_M, m_M)
\]
Uncertainty analysis

Uncertainty is expressed in the form of a posterior probability density function (PDF)

\[ f_{\text{posterior}}(\theta \mid \hat{y}) = \frac{f_{\text{likelihood}}(\hat{y} \mid \theta)f_{\text{prior}}(\theta)}{f_{\text{marginal}}(\hat{y})} \]

\[ f_{\text{likelihood}}(\hat{y}, \theta) = f_{\epsilon \mid \theta}(\hat{y} - y(\theta) \mid \theta) \]

\[ \hat{y} = y(\theta) + \epsilon \]

\( \hat{y} \) Estimated optical coefficients (retrieval results from lidar signal processing)

\( \epsilon \) Estimation error

How can uncertainty in the high dimension aerosol model be understood to assess uncertainty of aerosol properties?
Stochastic Monte Carlo

**Markov Chain**

Sequence of Random Variables $\Theta_n$ with PDFs $f_n$

$$f_{n|n-1,n-2,\ldots}(\theta_n | \theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n-2}, \ldots) = f_{n|n-1}(\theta_n | \theta_{n-1})$$

$$f_{n+1|n} = f_{n|n-1} = K$$

$$f_{n+1}(\phi) = \int K(\phi, \theta) f_n(\theta) d\theta$$

Stationary distributions $f_\infty(\phi) = \int K(\phi, \theta) f_\infty(\theta) d\theta$

**Monte Carlo simulation**

Begin with $\Theta_0$ and generate subsequent $\Theta$ according to some $K$
Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm

*Designed so that a target distribution $\pi(\theta)$ is stationary*

1) Generate candidate $\Phi$ given current outcome $\Theta$ from a random number generator congruent with a proposal distribution whose PDF is $q(\varphi|\theta)$

2) Accept $\Phi$ as the next outcome with probability, $\alpha(\Phi, \Theta) = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi(\Phi)q(\Theta|\Phi)}{\pi(\Theta)q(\Phi|\Theta)} \right\}$

3) If $\Phi$ is not accepted then $\Theta$ is retained as the next outcome

*Initial distributions $f_0(\theta)$ will converge to $\pi(\theta)$ with only lose requirements of the proposal distribution. Its choice affects the rate of convergence but generally not the stationary distribution.*

Types of Proposal Distributions

- Random walk generators
- Independent generators
Implementation

- Ensemble of parallel chains starting from an initial distribution
- Random walk generation for first several links
- Ensemble is analyzed partway along the chain, clusters are determined and used to form a hybrid proposal distribution

Hybrid proposal distribution

Randomly choose walk generation or generation from a distribution corresponding to one of the clusters, thereby allowing teleportation between regions. This aspect is essential for dealing with multiple local maxima in the posterior PDF.
Ensemble evolution animation

Example in a reduced single mode aerosol size distribution
The target distribution PDF is only a function of median radius and geometric standard deviation
Speeding convergence by initial resampling

Ensemble members are not getting represented by clusters

• *Results in tendency not to teleport*

Hybrid B also includes a small probability of sampling from the initial distribution

\[
\alpha = \min \left\{ \frac{\pi(\Phi) \left( P_{wq}w(\Theta | \Phi) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} p_i q_i(\Theta) \right)}{\pi(\Theta) \left( P_{wq}w(\Phi | \Theta) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} p_i q_i(\Phi) \right)}, 1 \right\}
\]
Comparison with forward Monte Carlo analysis

Numerically integrated posterior cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) (Black) and CDFs estimated from the simple forward Monte Carlo method applied using the maximum a posteriori inverse (Blue) and the Ensemble Metropolis-Hastings algorithm (Red).
Extension to bi-modal particle size distributions

Speeding convergence by fitting proposal PDF to the target PDF

**Multivariate Gaussian PDF**

\[
\ln(g(\theta)) = -\frac{1}{2} (\theta - \bar{\theta})^T S^{-1} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - c
\]

- **S**: Covariance matrix
- **\(\bar{\theta}\)**: Mean vector

**First derivative fitting**

\[
\bar{\theta} = \theta + S \nabla_{\theta} \ln(g(\theta))
\]

**Second derivative fitting**

\[
(S^{-1})_{ij} = -\partial_i \partial_j \ln(g)
\]

**Eigenvalue conditioning**

S must be positive definite to be a valid covariance matrix. This is not guaranteed if the target distribution is not Gaussian, and so it is remedied through eigenvector decomposition. Negative Eigenvalues are set to an upper limit and S is recomposed.
Implementations

Acceptance rate is used for determining at which link to cluster the ensemble to form the hybrid proposal distribution.

Higher acceptance probability with uncorrelated random walk is due to scaling down the fitted variance.

Full second order derivative fitting compared with uncorrelated partially fitted random walk generation.
Periodic re-hybridization to speed convergence

After 10000 links there still remain some members around a local maximum.

All ensemble members had been together since ~link 500.

Hybridization only once

After 10000 links there still remain some members around a local maximum.
Things to think about

- How to choose when to form the multi-component independent generator from clusters
- Can the independent generator be periodically reformulated indefinitely while still maintaining convergence to the target distribution
- Which clustering method works best
- Is there an optimal compromise between random walk with uncorrelated variance versus complete fitting of all mixed derivatives